#### CIRCULATED BEFORE THE MEETING



# REPORT of DIRECTOR OF STRATEGY, PERFORMANCE AND GOVERNANCE

SOUTH EASTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE 05 AUGUST 2020

#### **MEMBERS' UPDATE**

#### **AGENDA ITEM NO. 6**

<b>Application Number</b>	20/00097/FUL	
Location	Former Petticrows Boatyard, The Quay, Burnham-on-Crouch	
Dwanagal	Demolition of existing building and erection of a residential	
	institution (C2 Use Class) to accommodate 75 specialist assisted	
	living elderly persons units, including restaurant/bar, cafe, tv	
Proposal	room, wellbeing suite, quiet area, consultation room and other	
	communal facilities, together with vehicular and pedestrian	
	accesses, car parking, amenity space and landscaping.	
Applicant	McLaren Senior Living	
Agent	Mr Michael Carpenter – CODE Development Planners Ltd	
<b>Target Decision Date</b>	12.08.2020	
Case Officer	Devan Hearnah	
Parish	Burnham South	
Reason for Referral to the	Major Application	
Committee / Council		

#### 5. MAIN CONSIDERATIONS

#### 5.1 Principle of development

5.1.17 In light of all the above local policies and local and national guidance, it is considered that there is an identified need for older people housing within the District. However, Government guidance advises that schemes should be of a mixture of type of provision and tenure which this development does not provide. Furthermore, guidance encourages that older people should be supported to remain in their own homes before needing to relocate to a C2 C3-Use which this proposal would not facilitate. Therefore, whilst it is acknowledged that there is a need for older people accommodation it is not considered that there is a need for additional C2 C3 Uses. Without any sound or robust assessment putting forward a reasoned argument for the need to provide over the level identified within the SHMA, taking into account thE above-mentioned approved schemes and the need for housing in the District, there is a concern that the proposal will result in the inward migration of older people due to an over provision of this type of development. Whilst it is noted that the Market Assessment does consider application 18/00443/OUT, the report does not consider the other developments mentioned above. Furthermore, consideration should be had to

whether the benefits of the proposed development, given the identified need for older people housing, would outweigh any potential harm caused to the countryside.

# 7. CONSULTATIONS AND REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED

### 7.2 Statutory Consultees and Other Organisations

Name of Statutory Consultee / Other Organisation	Comment	Officer Response
Historic England	No comment – seek views of your specialist conservation and archaeological advisers, as relevant.	Noted
Natural England (Further Response on HRA)	The LPA has screened the proposed development and consider that it falls within scope of the Essex Coast RAMS and that a HRA (Stage 2: Appropriate Assessment) has been under taken in order to secure any necessary recreational disturbance mitigation.  Without appropriate mitigation would have an adverse effect on the integrity of European designated sites within the scope of Essex RAMS.  The mitigation described in the LPA's Appropriate Assessment is in line with Natural England's Strategic advice. The mitigation should rule out an 'adverse effect on the integrity' of the European Designated sites. The financial contribution should be secured through an appropriate and legally binding agreement, in order to ensure no adverse effect on integrity.	This confirms that Natural England is content with the findings outlined at section 5.15

Name of Statutory Consultee / Other Organisation	Comment	Officer Response
	Appropriate planning conditions or obligations should be attached to any permissions to secure mitigation proposals against noise, lighting dust, airborne pollutants, run off and traffic disturbances and also to ensure that major maintenance works will be scheduled to be undertaken within the summer months, and to secure a lighting strategy to avoid light spillage. This will protect the on the Crouch and Roach Estuaries SPA and Ramsar.	This confirms that Natural England is content with the findings outlined at section 5.14. However, this does not overcome the concerns highlighted in section 5.13 which relate to ecology and biodiversity within the site.

## 7.4 Representations received from Interested Parties

7.4.1 A further **five** letters of objection have been received, taking the total to **fifteen.** The reasons for objection do not raise any new material considerations but have been summarised in the table below for completeness.

<b>Objecting Comment</b>	Officer Response	
Lack of infrastructure, services and	Addressed at section 5.1	
facilities capacity in Burnham-on-		
Crouch		
Inappropriate density, scale and bulk,	Addressed at section 5.6	
The development would result in		
overdevelopment at the site		
Increase in highway congestion and	Addressed at section 5.9	
safety concerns due to an increase in		
vehicle movements and an unsafe and		
insufficient access for pedestrians and		
vehicles		
Flood Risk	Addressed at section 5.12	
Impacts on the nearby Corinthian Yacht	Addressed at section 5.7	
Club		
Inappropriate scale	Addressed at section 5.6	
BOCNDP deems the site inappropriate	Addressed at section 5.1	
for residential use.		
Odour Impacts resulting from	Addressed at section 5.8	
neighbouring sewage works		
There is no need for assisted living	Addressed at section 5.1	

accommodation in Burnham-on-Crouch	
Inaccessible and unsustainable due to	Addressed at section 5.1
edge of town location	
Design is not in keeping with the local	Addressed at section 5.6
vernacular	
It would disrupt iconic river views as a	Addressed at section 5.6
result of the five storey height.	

7.4.2 **One** letter commenting on the application has also been received from the North East Essex Badger Group. The comments are considered in the table below:

Comment	Officer Response
There is a main Badger sett not far from	Noted. If the application were to be
the site. Apart from the holes on site,	approved, then a condition could be
which may or may not be active at any	imposed to secure these measures.
one time, there is the possibility of	However, as discussed at section 5.13 it
foraging badgers. If the application is to	is not considered that the details within
be approved care should be taken when	the Preliminary Ecological Appraisal
clearing the site and measures taken to	are sufficient to ensure that the
cover any excavations at night to prevent	development would not impacts on the
badgers falling in and being unable to	existing ecological assets and habitats
exit.	within the site.